

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Agustina, L. (2020). Hubungan antara kematangan emosi dengan interaksi sosial pada dewasa awal. [Undergraduate thesis, Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya]. <http://repository.untag-sby.ac.id/id/eprint/5143>
- Anindito, H. (2014). Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi masyarakat untuk menghuni apartemen menengah atas Surabaya. [S2, Universitas Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember]. https://repository.its.ac.id/390/3/3212208002-Master_Theses.pdf
- Bandara, W. D., Rathnayake, R., Mahanama, P. K. S., & Wickramaarachchi, N. (2020). An investigation on community spaces in condominiums and their impact on social interactions among apartment dwellers concerning the city of Colombo. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2020.100043>
- Barrie, H., McDougall, K., Miller, K., & Faulkner, D. (2023). The social value of public spaces in mixed-use high-rise buildings. *Buildings and Cities*, 4(1), 669–689. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/bc.339>
- Bee, A.S. & Im, L.P. (2016). The provision of vertical social pockets for better social interaction in high-rise living. *Journal of the Malaysian Institute of Planners*, 14(4), 163–180. DOI: [10.21837/pmjournal.v14.i4.156](https://doi.org/10.21837/pmjournal.v14.i4.156)
- Bolleter, T., Hooper, P., Kleeman, A., Edwards, N., & Foster, S. (2024). A typological study of the provision and use of communal outdoor space in Australian apartment development. *Journal Landscape and Urban Planning*, 246. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2024.105040>
- Carmona, M. (2010). Contemporary public space: Critique and classification, part one: Critique. *Journal of Urban Design*, 15(1), 123–148. DOI: [10.1080/13574800903435651](https://doi.org/10.1080/13574800903435651)
- Cerruti, M. S. & Shepley, M. M. (2016). The Effects of Spatial Enclosure on Social Interaction Between Older Adults With Dementia and Young Children. *Health Environments Research & Design Journal*, 9(3), 63-81. DOI: [10.1177/1937586715615348](https://doi.org/10.1177/1937586715615348)
- Cheng, K. F. (2011). Social interactions in computer-mediated public spaces. [PhD. Thesis, UC Berkeley]. https://escholarship.org/content/qt6zz6d6dd/qt6zz6d6dd_noSplash_629b2dd8642f08d8717c5e9a8ec3fb5b.pdf
- Dietrich, U. & Kengyel, N. (2016). What makes a public open space liveable?. *Urban Regeneration and Sustainability*, 204, 685-696. DOI: [10.2495/SC160571](https://doi.org/10.2495/SC160571)
- Dovey, K. & Wood, S. (2015). Public/private urban interfaces: Type, adaptation, assemblage. *Journal of Urbanism*, 8(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17549175.2014.891151>
- E. Deniz & Yildirim, Y. (2023). Sociopetality or sociofugality? The effects of post-pandemic on public open space. *Urban Design International*, 28, 320–329. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41289-023-00222-3>
- Fard, P., Shariff, M., Yunos, M., & Mydin, M. (2015). Preference on social spaces in high density condominiums in Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 747, 165–167. DOI: [10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.747.165](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.747.165)
- Gehl, J. (1987). *The life between buildings*.
- Gehl Institute. (2016). Public life diversity toolkit - V2. https://issuu.com/gehlarhitects/docs/public_life_diversity_toolkit_v2_fo
- Gifford, R. (1988). Light, decor, arousal, comfort and communication. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 8, 177-189. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944\(88\)80008-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944(88)80008-2)
- Hall, E. (1966). *The Hidden Dimension*. The Bodley Head.
- He, Xinyi (2018). Study of interior public spaces for the promotion of social interaction in high-rise residential buildings. [Thesis, Rochester Institute of Technology]. <https://repository.rit.edu/theses/9974>
- Hu, X.; Zhang, L.; Jin, G. (2020). Breaking and bridging: An empirical study on neighborhood relations among urban residents in Nanjing. *Urban Obs*, 153–164.
- Huang, S. C. L. (2006). A study of outdoor interactional spaces in high-rise housing. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 78(3), 193–204. DOI: [10.1016/j.landurbplan.2005.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2005.07.008)
- Ishikawa, A. (2023). A review of effects of visual environmental factors on interpersonal cognition and behavior: Focusing on brightness, color, and depth. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 87(802), 797-808. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2475-8876.12343>
- Kaur, J. (2017). Livability in high rise apartments through open space. *International Journal of Civil Engineering & Technology*, 8(5), 1295–1301.
- Kendon, A. (1990). Conducting Interaction: Patterns of Behavior in Focused Encounters. Cambridge University Press.
- Kim, J., Kim, Jaehyun, Kim, Y., Han, A., & Nguyen, M. C. (2020). The contribution of physical and social activity participation to social support and happiness among people with physical disabilities. *Disability and Health Journal*, 14(1), 100974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2020.100974>
- Kleeman, A., Hooper, P., Edwards, N., Bolleter, J., & Foster, S. (2022). Research note:

- Associations between the implementation of communal open space design guidelines and residents' use of these spaces in apartment developments. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2022.104613>
- Lee, Bokyoung, Lee, M., Zhang, P., Tessier, A., & Khan, A. (2019). An empirical study of how socio-spatial formations are influenced by interior elements and displays in an office context. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer interaction*, 3, 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359160>
- Le, A. D., & Do, N. A. T. (2017). Thiết kế không gian tiện ích công cộng và văn hóa ứng xử trong chung cư cao tầng tại TP. Hồ Chí Minh/Designing public utility space and behavioral culture in high-rise apartment buildings in Ho Chi Minh City. Ho Chi Minh. Architecture Magazine_ Vietnam Association of Architecture, 56–61. <https://www.tapchikientruc.com.vn/chuyen-muc/thiet-ke-khong-gian-tien-ich-cong-cong-v-a-van-hoa-ung-xu-trong-chung-cu-cao-tang-tai-tp-ho-chi-minh.html>
- Lee, Myung Sik & Park, Youjung. (2015). The courtyard as a microcosm of everyday life and social interaction. *Architectural Research*, 17(2), 65-74. <https://doi.org/10.5659/AIKAR.2015.17.2.65>
- Lindh, Wänström & Billger, M. (2021). Light distribution and perceived spaciousness: Light patterns in scale models. *Architectural Engineering*, 13(22), 12424. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132212424>
- Liu, Y.; Xiao, D. (2001). Spatial environment planning and design for low-rise residential groups; Tianjin University Press: Tianjin, China.
- Martinez, J. R. (2013). Socially-aware robot navigation: Combining risk assessment and social conventions. Université de Grenoble. HAL Id : tel-00957945
- Menz, S. (2014). Public space evolution in high-density living in Singapore: Ground and elevated public spaces in public housing precincts. Future Cities Laboratory, ETH Singapore SEC. <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-010583367>
- Mouratidis, K. (2018). Built environment and social well-being: How does urban form affect social life and personal relationships?. 74, 7–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2017.10.020>
- Nasar, J. L. (1994). Urban design aesthetics: The evaluative qualities of building exteriors. *Journal Environmental and psychology*, 26(3), 377-401. DOI:10.1006/jevp.1996.0036
- Nguyen, L., Van Den Berg, P., Kemperman, A., & Mohammadi, M. (2020). Where do people interact in high-rise apartment buildings? exploring the influence of personal and neighborhood characteristics. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(3), 4619. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17134619>
- Nguyen, L., Van Den Berg, P., Kemperman, A., & Mohammadi, M. (2024). Social impacts of living in high-rise apartment buildings: The effects of buildings and neighborhoods. *Journal of Urban Affairs*. DOI: 10.1080/07352166.2024.2311165
- Nowzari, Z., Armitage, R., & Maghsoodi Tilaki, M. J. (2023). How Does the residential complex regulate residents' behaviour? an empirical study to identify influential components of human territoriality on social interaction. *Sustainability*, 15(14), 11276. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411276>
- Parolo, A. (2020). Recovering from social distancing in the post-Covid economy. *Avasant.com*. <https://avasant.com/report/recovering-from-social-distancing-in-the-post-covid-economy/>
- Prasetya, A., Deng Hu, A., & Kubota, T. (2023). Analysis of typical layout of apartment buildings in Indonesia. *Buildings*, 13(6), 1387. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings13061387>
- Profil Perkembangan Kependudukan Kota Surabaya (2022). Kepala Dinas Kependudukan dan Pencatatan Sipil Kota Surabaya
- Rabaglietti, E. and Ciarano, S. (2008). Quality of friendship relationships and developmental tasks in adolescence. *Cognitie Creier Comportament*, 12(2), 183- 203.
- Sakina, B. & Kusuma, H. E. (2016). Hubungan antara Jenis Hunian Sewa dan Kualitas Interaksi Sosial Mahasiswa. Temu Ilmiah IPLBI. 5
- Shim, J., Park, S., & Park, E. (2004). Public space planning of mixed-use high-rise buildings - Focusing on the Use and Impact of Deck Structure in an Urban Development in Seoul. CTBUH 2004 Seoul Conference.
- Sholahuddin, M. (2021). Suplai Apartemen di Kota Surabaya Terus Meningkat. *JawaPos.com*. <http://www.jawapos.com/surabaya-raya/01341942/suplai-apartemen-di-kota-surabaya-terus-meningkat>
- Stouhi, Dima (2023). Let there be light! 12 projects that show the impact lighting can make to an interior space. *Archdaily.com*
- Sun, J., Harris, K., & Vazire, S. (2019). Is well-being associated with the quantity and quality of social interactions?. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 119(6), 1478-1496. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000272>
- Tamariska, S. R., Lestari, A. D. E., Septania, E. N., & Shoful Ulum, M. (2019). Peran ruang komunal dalam menciptakan sense of community studi komparasi perumahan terencana dan perumahan tidak terencana. *Jurnal Koridor*, 10(1). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32734/koridor.v10i1.1388>
- Tomporowski, P. D., & Pesce, C. (2019). Exercise, sports, and performance arts benefit cognition via a common process. *Psychological Bulletin*, 145(9), 929. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000200>
- UNESCO, Inclusion Through Access to Public Spaces, (2017). [cited 2022 August 21]; <http://www.unesco.org/new/en/social-and-human-sciences/themes/urban-598>.

Vora, N. (2017). Mobile technology and social behaviors of people in public space. *Research Paper*. <https://medium.com/@nehal.vora/mobile-technology-and-social-behaviors-of-people-in-public-spaces-e5483035717c>

Wijaya Wie, I. & Dewi, J. (2019). Space Configuration of vertical housing for optimal social interaction support. *KnE Social Sciences*, 421–443. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v3i21.4985>

Wolske, K.S., Gillingham, K.T., & Schultz, P. (2020). Peer influence on household energy behaviours. *Nat. Energy*, 5 (3), 202–212.

Wood, J. (2017). Creating better public housing in american cities: A Study of circulation and common spaces of public housing. [Architecture Undergraduate Honors Theses, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville]. DOI: 10.18502/kss

Zhang, B., Guo, W., Xing, Z., & Zhou, R. (2022). Current situation and sustainable renewal strategies of public space in Chinese old communities. *Sustainability*, 14, 6723. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14116723>

Zhou, chen. (2019). A social interaction field model accurately identifies static and dynamic social groupings. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 3(8), 1-9. DOI:10.1038/s41562-019-0618-2